arXiv:1506.00226v1 [math.FA] 31 May 2015

Improved Young and Heinz inequalities with the Kantorovich constant

Wenshi Liao[a]*and Junliang Wu[a]
[a]College of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, P.R. China

Abstract. In this article, we study the further refinements and reverses of the Young and Heinz inequalities with the Kantorovich constant. These modified inequalities are used to establish corresponding operator inequalities on Hilbert space and Hilbert-Schmidt norm inequalities.

Keywords: Young inequality; Arithmetic-geometric mean; Heinz mean; Kantorovich constant; Operator inequalites

AMS Subject Classification : 15A15; 15A42; 15A60; 47A30

1 Introduction

The well-known Young inequality for two scalars is the weighted arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, which was attributed to the English mathematician William Henry Young (1863-1942). The inequality states that if $a, b > 0$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$, then

$$(1-v)a + vb \geq a^{1-v}b^v \tag{1.1}$$

with equality if and only if $a = b$. If $v = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain the fundamental arithmetic-geometric mean inequality $2\sqrt{ab} \leq a + b$. If $v > 1$ or $v < 0$, then the reverse inequality of (1.1)

$$(1-v)a + vb \leq a^{1-v}b^v$$

holds, the proof can be found in [1].

The Heinz mean, defined as

$$H_v(a,b) = \frac{a^v b^{1-v} + a^{1-v}b^v}{2}$$

for $a, b > 0$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$, interpolates between the arithmetic mean and geometric mean. It is easy to see that the Heinz mean is convex as a function of v on the interval $[0, 1]$, attains minimum at $v = 1/2$, and attains maximum at $v = 0$ and $v = 1$, so

$$\sqrt{ab} = H_{\frac{1}{2}}(a,b) \leq H_v(a,b) \leq H_1(a,b) = \frac{a+b}{2}. \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, $H_v(a, b)$ is symmetric about the point $v = 1/2$, that is, $H_v(a, b) = H_{1-v}(a, b)$.

Kittaneh and Manasrah [11, 12] improved the Young inequality (1.1), and obtained the following relations:

$$r(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 \leq (1-v)a + vb - a^{1-v}b^v \leq R(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2, \tag{1.3}$$

---

[*] Corresponding author. E-mail: liaowenshi@gmail.com, jlwu678@163.com.

where $a, b > 0$, $v \in [0,1]$, $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$ and $R = \max\{v, 1-v\}$.

Wu and Zhao [14] presented further improvements of (1.3) that

$$(1-v)\,a + vb \geq r(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h}, 2)^{r_1} a^{1-v} b^v, \tag{1.4}$$

$$(1-v)\,a + vb \leq R(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h}, 2)^{-r_1} a^{1-v} b^v, \tag{1.5}$$

where $h = \frac{b}{a}$, $\mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h}, 2) = \frac{(\sqrt{h}+1)^2}{4\sqrt{h}}$, $r_1 = \min\{2r, 1-2r\}$. Note that $\mathrm{K}(t, 2) = \frac{(t+1)^2}{4t}$ is the classical Kantorovich constant which has properties $\mathrm{K}(1, 2) = 1$, $\mathrm{K}(t, 2) = \mathrm{K}\left(\frac{1}{t}, 2\right) \geq 1 (t > 0)$ and $\mathrm{K}(t, 2)$ is monotone increasing on $[1, \infty)$ and monotone decreasing on $(0, 1]$.

Recently, Zhao and Wu obtained the refinements and reverses of Young inequality and improved inequalities (1.3) in the following forms:

Proposition 1.1. *[15] Let a, b be two nonnegative real numbers and $v \in (0, 1)$.*

(I) *If $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$(1-v)a + vb \geq a^{1-v}b^v + v(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 + r_1(\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{a})^2, \tag{1.6}$$

$$(1-v)a + vb \leq a^{1-v}b^v + (1-v)(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 - r_1(\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{b})^2, \tag{1.7}$$

(II) *if $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, then*

$$(1-v)a + vb \geq a^{1-v}b^v + (1-v)(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 + r_1(\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{b})^2, \tag{1.8}$$

$$(1-v)a + vb \leq a^{1-v}b^v + v(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 - r_1(\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{a})^2, \tag{1.9}$$

where $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$ and $r_1 = \min\{2r, 1-2r\}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the C^*-algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. I stands for the identity operator. $\mathcal{B}^{++}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the cone of all positive invertible operators on $\mathcal{H}$. As a matter of convenience, we use the following notations to define the weighted arithmetic mean and geometric mean for operators:

$$A \nabla_v B = (1-v)A + vB, \;\; A \#_v B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^v A^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^{++}(\mathcal{H})$ and $v \in [0, 1]$. When $v = \frac{1}{2}$, we write $A \nabla B$ and $A \# B$ for brevity, respectively.

An operator version of the Young inequality proved in [3] says that if $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^{++}(\mathcal{H})$ and $v \in [0, 1]$, then

$$A \nabla_v B \geq A \#_v B.$$

The Heinz operator mean is defined by

$$H_v(A, B) = \frac{A \#_v B + A \#_{1-v} B}{2},$$

for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^{++}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$.

It is easy to see that the Heinz operator mean interpolates the arithmetic-geometric operator mean inequality:

$$A \# B \leq H_v(A, B) \leq A \nabla B. \tag{1.10}$$

The equation (1.10) are called the Heinz operator inequalities (See [9, 10]).

The first difference-type improvement of the matrix Young inequality is due to Kittaneh and Manasrah [12] extending (1.2) to matrices:

$$r(A \nabla B - A \# B) \leq A \nabla_v B - A \#_v B \leq R(A \nabla B - A \# B) \tag{1.11}$$

holds for positive definite matrices A and B and $0 \leq v \leq 1$, where $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$ and $R = \max\{v, 1-v\}$, which of course remain valid for Hilbert space operators by a standard approximation argument.

Note that the first inequality in (1.11) was independently established for positive operators A and B by Furuichi in [4].

The ratio-type improvements of the Young inequality are referred to [4, 5, 13, 14, 16].

The operator versions of (1.4) and (1.5) were presented in [14].

Zhao and Wu [15] also extended inequalities (1.6)-(1.9) to positive invertible operators and improved (1.11), which were shown as

Proposition 1.2. *[15] Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^{++}(\mathcal{H})$ and $v \in (0,1)$.*

(I) *If $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$A\nabla_v B \geq 2v(A\nabla B - A\#B) + r_1(A\#B - 2A\#_{\frac{1}{4}}B + A) + A\#_v B, \tag{1.12}$$

$$A\nabla_v B \leq 2(1-v)(A\nabla B - A\#B) - r_1(A\#B - 2A\#_{\frac{3}{4}}B + B) + A\#_v B, \tag{1.13}$$

(II) *if $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, then*

$$A\nabla_v B \geq 2(1-v)(A\nabla B - A\#B) + r_1(A\#B - 2A\#_{\frac{3}{4}}B + B) + A\#_v B, \tag{1.14}$$

$$A\nabla_v B \leq 2v(A\nabla B - A\#B) - r_1(A\#B - 2A\#_{\frac{1}{4}}B + A) + A\#_v B, \tag{1.15}$$

where $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$ and $r_1 = \min\{2r, 1-2r\}$.

In this paper, we are concerned with several improvements of the Young and Heinz inequalities via the Kantorovich constant. In Section 2, we present the whole series of refinements and reverses of the scalars Young inequality which will help us to derive Heinz inequalities. In Section 3, we extend inequalities proved in Section 2 from the scalars setting to a Hilbert space operator setting. In Section 4, the Hilbert-Schmidt norm inequalities are established.

2 Scalars inequalities

In this section, we mainly present the direct refinements and reverses of the Young inequality for two positive numbers a, b. When $v = 0$ and $v = 1$, the Young inequality is trivial. We will study the case $v \in (0,1)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $a, b > 0$ and $v \in (0,1)$. Then*

(I) *If $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$(1-v)a + vb \geq v(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 + r_1(\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{a})^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{\hat{r}_1} a^{1-v} b^v, \tag{2.1}$$

(II) *if $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, then*

$$(1-v)a + vb \geq (1-v)(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 + r_1(\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{b})^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{\hat{r}_1} a^{1-v} b^v, \tag{2.2}$$

where $h = \dfrac{b}{a}$, $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$, $r_1 = \min\{2r, 1-2r\}$ and $\hat{r}_1 = \min\{2r_1, 1-2r_1\}$.

Proof. The proof of the inequality (2.2) is similar to that of (2.1). Thus, we only need to prove the inequality (2.1).

If $v = \frac{1}{4}$ and $v = \frac{1}{2}$, the inequality (2.1) becomes equality.

By the inequality (1.4), if $0 < v < \frac{1}{4}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}(1-v)a + vb - v(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b})^2 \\ &= 2v\sqrt{ab} + (1-2v)a \\ &\geq 2v(\sqrt[4]{ab}-\sqrt{a})^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h},2)^{\min\{4v,1-4v\}} a^{1-v}b^v,\end{aligned}$$

if $\frac{1}{4} < v < \frac{1}{2}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}(1-v)a + vb - v(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b})^2 \\ &= 2v\sqrt{ab} + (1-2v)a \\ &\geq (1-2v)(\sqrt[4]{ab}-\sqrt{a})^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h},2)^{\min\{2-4v,4v-1\}} a^{1-v}b^v.\end{aligned}$$

So we conclude that

$$(1-v)a + vb \geq v(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b})^2 + r_1(\sqrt[4]{ab}-\sqrt{a})^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h},2)^{\hat{r}_1} a^{1-v}b^v.$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.1. *By the properties of Kantorovich constant,* (2.1) *and* (2.2) *are better than* (1.6) *and* (1.8)*, respectively. As a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1, we have the following inequalities with respect to the Heinz mean:*

$$\frac{a+b}{2} \geq r(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b})^2 + \frac{1}{2}r_1\left[(\sqrt[4]{ab}-\sqrt{a})^2 + (\sqrt[4]{ab}-\sqrt{b})^2\right] + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h},2)^{\hat{r}_1} H_v(a,b). \tag{2.3}$$

Corollary 2.1. *Let $a, b > 0$ and $v \in (0,1)$. Then*

(I) *If $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$((1-v)a + vb)^2 \geq v^2(a-b)^2 + r_1(\sqrt{ab}-a)^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h},2)^{\hat{r}_1}\left(a^{1-v}b^v\right)^2. \tag{2.4}$$

(II) *If $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, then*

$$((1-v)a + vb)^2 \geq (1-v)^2(a-b)^2 + r_1(\sqrt{ab}-b)^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h},2)^{\hat{r}_1}\left(a^{1-v}b^v\right)^2. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Replacing a by a^2 and b by b^2 in (2.1) and (2.2), respectively, we have

$$(1-v)a^2 + vb^2 \geq v(a-b)^2 + r_1(\sqrt{ab}-a)^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h},2)^{\hat{r}_1}\left(a^{1-v}b^v\right)^2$$

and

$$(1-v)a^2 + vb^2 \geq (1-v)(a-b)^2 + r_1(\sqrt{ab}-b)^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h},2)^{\hat{r}_1}\left(a^{1-v}b^v\right)^2. \tag{2.6}$$

If $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then by the first inequality above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}((1-v)a + vb)^2 - v^2(a-b)^2 \\ &= (1-v)a^2 + vb^2 - v(a-b)^2 \\ &\geq r_1(\sqrt{ab}-a)^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h},2)^{\hat{r}_1}\left(a^{1-v}b^v\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, then by using (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}((1-v)a + vb)^2 - (1-v)^2(a-b)^2 \\ &= (1-v)a^2 + vb^2 - (1-v)(a-b)^2 \\ &\geq r_1(\sqrt{ab}-b)^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h},2)^{\hat{r}_1}\left(a^{1-v}b^v\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $a, b > 0$ *and* $v \in (0,1)$. *Then*

(I) *If* $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, *then*

$$(1-v)a + vb \leq (1-v)(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 - r_1(\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{b})^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{-\hat{r}_1} a^{1-v} b^v, \tag{2.7}$$

(II) *If* $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, *then*

$$(1-v)a + vb \leq v(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 - r_1(\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{a})^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{-\hat{r}_1} a^{1-v} b^v, \tag{2.8}$$

where $h = \dfrac{b}{a}$, $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$, $r_1 = \min\{2r, 1-2r\}$ *and* $\hat{r}_1 = \min\{2r_1, 1-2r_1\}$.

Proof. The proof of inequality (2.8) is similar to that of (2.7). Thus, we only need to prove (2.7).

If $0 < v < \frac{1}{2}$, then by the inequality (1.4), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{-\hat{r}_1} a^{1-v} b^v + (1-v)(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 - (1-v)a - vb \\
&= \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{-\hat{r}_1} a^{1-v} b^v + (1-2v)b + 2v\sqrt{ab} - 2\sqrt{ab} \\
&\geq \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{-\hat{r}_1} a^{1-v} b^v + K(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{\hat{r}_1} a^v b^{1-v} + r_1(\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{b})^2 - 2\sqrt{ab} \\
&\geq r_1(\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{b})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If $v = \frac{1}{2}$, the inequality (2.7) becomes equality. □

Remark 2.2. *By the properties of Kantorovich constant,* (2.7) *and* (2.8) *are better than* (1.7) *and* (1.9), *respectively. As a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2, we have the following inequalities with respect to the Heinz mean:*

$$\frac{a+b}{2} \leq R(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 - \frac{1}{2} r_1[(\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{a})^2 + (\sqrt[4]{ab} - \sqrt{b})^2] + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{-\hat{r}_1} H_v(a, b), \tag{2.9}$$

where $R = \max\{v, 1-v\}$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let* $a, b > 0$ *and* $v \in (0,1)$. *Then*

(I) *If* $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, *then*

$$((1-v)a + vb)^2 \leq (1-v)^2(a-b)^2 - r_1(\sqrt{ab} - b)^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h}, 2)^{-\hat{r}_1} \left(a^{1-v} b^v\right)^2.$$

(II) *If* $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, *then*

$$((1-v)a + vb)^2 \leq v^2(a-b)^2 - r_1(\sqrt{ab} - a)^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h}, 2)^{-\hat{r}_1} \left(a^{1-v} b^v\right)^2.$$

Proof. Replacing a by a^2 and b by b^2 in (2.7) and (2.8), respectively, we have

$$(1-v)a^2 + vb^2 \leq (1-v)(a-b)^2 - r_1(\sqrt{ab} - b)^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h}, 2)^{-\hat{r}_1} \left(a^{1-v} b^v\right)^2$$

and

$$(1-v)a^2 + vb^2 \leq v(a-b)^2 - r_1(\sqrt{ab} - a)^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt{h}, 2)^{-\hat{r}_1} \left(a^{1-v} b^v\right)^2.$$

The rest proof is similar to Corollary 2.1. □

3 Operator inequalities

If A is a selfadjoint operator and f is a real valued continuous function on $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$ (the spectrum of A), then $f(t) \geq 0$ for every $t \in \mathrm{Sp}(A)$ implies that $f(A) \geq 0$, i.e., $f(A)$ is a positive operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Equivalently, if both f and g are real valued continuous functions on $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$, then the following monotonic property of operator functions holds:

$$f(t) \geq g(t) \text{ for any } t \in \mathrm{Sp}(A) \text{ implies that } f(A) \geq g(A)$$

in the operator order of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^{++}(\mathcal{H})$ and positive real numbers m, m', M, M' satisfy either $0 < m'I \leq A \leq mI < MI \leq B \leq M'I$ or $0 < m'I \leq B \leq mI < MI \leq A \leq M'I$.*

(I) *If $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$A\nabla_v B \geq 2v(A\nabla B - A\#B) + r_1(A\#B - 2A\#_{\frac{1}{4}}B + A) + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{\hat{r}_1} A\#_v B, \tag{3.1}$$

(II) *if $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, then*

$$A\nabla_v B \geq 2(1-v)(A\nabla B - A\#B) + r_1(A\#B - 2A\#_{\frac{3}{4}}B + B) + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{\hat{r}_1} A\#_v B, \tag{3.2}$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}$, $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$, $r_1 = \min\{2r, 1-2r\}$ and $\hat{r}_1 = \min\{2r_1, 1-2r_1\}$. Equality holds if and only if $A = B$ and $m = M$.

Proof. If $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, it follows from the inequality (2.1) that for any $x > 0$,

$$(1-v) + vx \geq v(\sqrt{x} - 1)^2 + r_1(\sqrt[4]{x} - 1)^2 + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{x}, 2)^{\hat{r}_1} x^v. \tag{3.3}$$

Putting $X = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, under the condition $0 < m'I \leq A \leq mI < MI \leq B \leq M'I$, we have

$$I \leq hI = \frac{M}{m}I \leq X \leq h'I = \frac{M'}{m'}I,$$

and then $\mathrm{Sp}(X) \subset [h, h'] \subset (1, +\infty)$. Thus for positive operator X, it can be deduced from the inequality (3.3) and the monotonic property of operator functions that

$$(1-v)I + vX \geq v(X - 2X^{\frac{1}{2}} + I) + r_1(X^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2X^{\frac{1}{4}} + I) + \min_{h \leq x \leq h'} \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{x}, 2)^{\hat{r}_1} x^v.$$

On the other hand, the Kantorovich constant $\mathrm{K}(t, 2)$ is an increasing function on $(1, +\infty)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(1-v)I + vA^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq & v(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 2(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} + I) \\ & + r_1((A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{4}} + I) \\ & + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{\hat{r}_1}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^v,\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Likewise, under the condition $0 < m'I \leq B \leq mI < MI \leq A \leq M'I$, we have $0 \leq \frac{1}{h'}I \leq X \leq \frac{1}{h}I < I$ and then $\mathrm{Sp}(X) \subset [\frac{1}{h'}, \frac{1}{h}] \subset (0, 1)$. Thus for positive operator X, we obtain

$$(1-v)I + vX \geq v(X - 2X^{\frac{1}{2}} + I) + r_1(X^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2X^{\frac{1}{4}} + I) + \min_{\frac{1}{h'} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{h}} \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{x}, 2)^{\hat{r}_1} x^v.$$

On the other hand, the Kantorovich constant $\mathrm{K}(t,2)$ is an decreasing function on $(0,1)$ and $\mathrm{K}(\frac{1}{t},2)=\mathrm{K}(t,2)$,we get

$$(1-v)I+vX \geq v(X-2X^{\frac{1}{2}}+I)+r_1(X^{\frac{1}{2}}-2X^{\frac{1}{4}}+I)+\mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h},2)^{\hat{r}_1}x^{v}. \tag{3.5}$$

It is striking that we obtain two same inequalities (3.4) and (3.5) under the two different condition. Then multiplying inequality (3.4) or (3.5) by $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on both sides, we can deduce the required inequality (3.1).

If $\frac{1}{2}<v<1$, the inequality (3.2) follows from inequality (2.2) by the similar method. ☐

The operator version of (2.3) can be shown as

Corollary 3.1. *Under the same conditions as Theorem 3.1, then*

$$A\nabla B \geq 2r(A\nabla B-A\#B)+r_1(A\nabla B+A\#B-2H_{\frac{1}{4}}(A,B))+\mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h},2)^{\hat{r}_1}H_v(A,B). \tag{3.6}$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $A,B\in\mathcal{B}^{++}(\mathcal{H})$ and positive real numbers m,m',M,M' satisfy either $0<m'I\leq A\leq mI<MI\leq B\leq M'I$ or $0<m'I\leq B\leq mI<MI\leq A\leq M'I$.*

(I) *If $0<v\leq\frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$A\nabla_v B\leq 2(1-v)(A\nabla B-A\#B)-r_1(A\#B-2A\#_{\frac{3}{4}}B+B)+\mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h},2)^{-\hat{r}_1}A\#_vB, \tag{3.7}$$

(II) *if $\frac{1}{2}<v<1$, then*

$$A\nabla_v B\leq 2v(A\nabla B-A\#B)-r_1(A\#B-2A\#_{\frac{1}{4}}B+A)+\mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h},2)^{-\hat{r}_1}A\#_vB, \tag{3.8}$$

where $h=\dfrac{M}{m}$, $r=\min\{v,1-v\}$, $r_1=\min\{2r,1-2r\}$ and $\hat{r}_1=\min\{2r_1,1-2r_1\}$. Equality holds if and only if $A=B$ and $m=M$.

Proof. By (2.7) and (2.8), using the same ideas as the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can get this theorem. ☐

The operator version of (2.9) can be shown as

Corollary 3.2. *Under the same conditions as Theorem 3.2, then*

$$A\nabla B\leq 2R(A\nabla B-A\#B)-r_1(A\nabla B+A\#B-2H_{\frac{1}{4}}(A,B))+\mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h},2)^{-\hat{r}_1}H_v(A,B), \tag{3.9}$$

where $R=\max\{v,1-v\}$.

Remark 3.1. *(3.6) and (3.9) are sharper than (3.4) in [12].*

If $0<v\leq\frac{1}{2}$, combining (3.1) with (3.7), then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq A\#_vB\\
&\leq 2v(A\nabla B-A\#B)+A\#_vB\\
&\leq 2v(A\nabla B-A\#B)+r_1(A\#B-2A\#_{\frac{1}{4}}B+A)+A\#_vB\\
&\leq 2v(A\nabla B-A\#B)+r_1(A\#B-2A\#_{\frac{1}{4}}B+A)+\mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h},2)^{\hat{r}_1}A\#_vB\\
&\leq A\nabla_vB\\
&\leq 2(1-v)(A\nabla B-A\#B)-r_1(A\#B-2A\#_{\frac{3}{4}}B+B)+\mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h},2)^{-\hat{r}_1}A\#_vB\\
&\leq 2(1-v)(A\nabla B-A\#B)-r_1(A\#B-2A\#_{\frac{3}{4}}B+B)+A\#_vB\\
&\leq 2(1-v)(A\nabla B-A\#B)+A\#_vB.
\end{aligned}$$

By the properties of Kantorovich constant, (3.1) *and* (3.2) *are better than* (1.12) *and* (1.14), *respectively.*

If $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, *combining* (3.2) *with* (3.8), *then*

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\le A\#_v B\\ &\le 2(1-v)(A\nabla B - A\#B) + A\#_v B\\ &\le 2(1-v)(A\nabla B - A\#B) + r_1(A\#B - 2A\#_{\frac{3}{4}}B + B) + A\#_v B\\ &\le 2(1-v)(A\nabla B - A\#B) + r_1(A\#B - 2A\#_{\frac{3}{4}}B + B) + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{\hat{r}_1} A\#_v B\\ &\le A\nabla_v B\\ &\le 2v(A\nabla B - A\#B) - r_1(A\#B - 2A\#_{\frac{1}{4}}B + A) + \mathrm{K}(\sqrt[4]{h}, 2)^{-\hat{r}_1} A\#_v B\\ &\le 2v(A\nabla B - A\#B) - r_1(A\#B - 2A\#_{\frac{1}{4}}B + A) + A\#_v B\\ &\le 2v(A\nabla B - A\#B) + A\#_v B.\end{aligned}$$

(3.7) *and* (3.8) *are better than* (1.13) *and* (1.15), *respectively.*

4 Hilbert-Schmidt norm inequalities

In this section, we present the improved Young and Heinz inequalities for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the algebra of all $n \times n$ complex matrices. The Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is denoted by $\|A\|_F^2$. It is well-known that the Hilbert-Schmidt norm is unitarily invariant in the sense that $\|UAV\|_F^2 = \|A\|_F^2$ for all unitary matrices $U, V \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ (See [6, p.341-342]). The spectrum of A and B are denoted by $\mathrm{Sp}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n\}$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(B) = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_n\}$, respectively. The Schur product (Hadamard product) of two matrices $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the entrywise product and denoted by $A \circ B$.

Hirzallah and Kittaneh [7] and Kittaneh and Manasrah [12] have showed that if $A, B, X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with A and B positive semidefinite matrices and $v \in [0, 1]$, then

$$r^2 \|AX - XB\|_F^2 \le \|(1-v)AX + vXB\|_F^2 - \left\|A^{1-v}XB^v\right\|_F^2 \le R^2 \|AX - XB\|_F^2, \tag{4.1}$$

where $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$, $R = \max\{v, 1-v\}$.

Applying Corollary 2.1 and 2.2, we derive two theorems which improve (4.1).

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose* $A, B, X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ *such that* A *and* B *are two positive definite matrices. Let*

$$\underline{\mathrm{K}} = \min\left\{\mathrm{K}((\lambda_i/\nu_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}, 2), i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\right\}.$$

(I) *If* $0 < v \le \frac{1}{2}$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(1-v)AX + vXB\|_F^2 - v^2 \|AX - XB\|_F^2\\ &\qquad\qquad \ge r_1 \left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - AX\right\|_F^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^v\right\|_F^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

(II) *if* $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(1-v)AX + vXB\|_F^2 - (1-v)^2 \|AX - XB\|_F^2\\ &\qquad\qquad \ge r_1 \left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - XB\right\|_F^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^v\right\|_F^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$, $r_1 = \min\{2r, 1-2r\}$ *and* $\hat{r}_1 = \min\{2r_1, 1-2r_1\}$.

Proof. Since A and B are positive definite, it follows by the spectral theorem that there exist unitary matrices $U, V \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$A = U\Lambda_1 U^*, B = V\Lambda_2 V^*,$$

where $\Lambda_1 = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n)$, $\Lambda_2 = \operatorname{diag}(\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_n)$, $\lambda_i, \nu_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Let $Y = U^*XV = [y_{ij}]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-v)AX + vXB &= U((1-v)\Lambda_1 Y + vY\Lambda_2)V^* \\
&= U[((1-v)\lambda_i + v\nu_j) \circ Y]V^*, \\
AX - XB &= U[(\lambda_i - \nu_j) \circ Y]V^*, \\
A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - AX &= U[((\lambda_i\nu_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_i) \circ Y]V^*, \\
A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - XB &= U[((\lambda_i\nu_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \nu_j) \circ Y]V^*
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$A^{1-v}XB^v = U((\lambda_i^{1-v}\nu_j^v) \circ Y)V^*.$$

If $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, utilizing the inequality (2.4) and the unitary invariance of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(1-v)AX + vXB\|_F^2 - v^2 \|AX - XB\|_F^2 \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ((1-v)\lambda_i + v\nu_j)^2 |y_{ij}|^2 - v^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (\lambda_i - \nu_j)^2 |y_{ij}|^2 \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left[((1-v)\lambda_i + v\nu_j)^2 |y_{ij}|^2 - v^2(\lambda_i - \nu_j)^2 |y_{ij}|^2\right] \\
&\qquad \geq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left[r_1((\lambda_i\nu_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_i)^2 |y_{ij}|^2 + \mathrm{K}\left((\lambda_i/\nu_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}, 2\right)^{\hat{r}_1} \left(\lambda_i^{1-v}\nu_j^v\right)^2 |y_{ij}|^2\right] \\
&\qquad \geq r_1 \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ((\lambda_i\nu_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_i)^2 |y_{ij}|^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{\hat{r}_1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left(\lambda_i^{1-v}\nu_j^v\right)^2 |y_{ij}|^2 \\
&\qquad = r_1 \left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - AX\right\|_F^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^v\right\|_F^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, using the inequality (2.5), we can derive (4.3). □

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose $A, B, X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that A and B are two positive definite matrices. Let*

$$\underline{\mathrm{K}} = \min\left\{\mathrm{K}((\lambda_i/\nu_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}, 2), i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\right\}.$$

(I) If $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(1-v)AX + vXB\|_F^2 - (1-v)^2 \|AX - XB\|_F^2 \\
&\qquad \leq \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{-\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^v\right\|_F^2 - r_1 \left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - XB\right\|_F^2 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

(II) *if $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(1-v)AX + vXB\|_F^2 - v^2 \|AX - XB\|_F^2 \\
&\qquad \leq \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{-\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^v\right\|_F^2 - r_1 \left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - AX\right\|_F^2 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $r = \min\{v, 1-v\}$, $r_1 = \min\{2r, 1-2r\}$ and $\hat{r}_1 = \min\{2r_1, 1-2r_1\}$.

Proof. By using the same ideas as the prove of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 2.2, we can obtain the required results. □

Remark 4.1. *If $0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, combining* (4.2)*and* (4.4) *with* (4.1)*, we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq & \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & v^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 + \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & v^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & v^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 + r_1 \left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - AX\right\|_F^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & \left\|(1-v)AX + vXB\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & (1-v)^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 - r_1 \left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - XB\right\|_F^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{-\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & (1-v)^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{-\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & (1-v)^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 + \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2,
\end{aligned}$$

if $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$, combining (4.3)*and* (4.5) *with* (4.1)*, we can obtain similar results,*

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq & \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & (1-v)^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 + \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & (1-v)^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & (1-v)^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 + r_1 \left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - XB\right\|_F^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & \left\|(1-v)AX + vXB\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & v^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 - r_1 \left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}} - AX\right\|_F^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{-\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & v^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 + \underline{\mathrm{K}}^{-\hat{r}_1} \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \\
\leq & v^2 \left\|AX - XB\right\|_F^2 + \left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which are improvements of $\left\|A^{1-v}XB^{v}\right\|_F^2 \leq \left\|(1-v)AX + vXB\right\|_F^2$ (See [2, 8]).

References

[1] M. Bakherad and M. S. Moslehian, Reverses and variations of Heinz inequality, Linear Multilinear Algebra. doi: 10.1080/03081087.2014.880433.

[2] R. Bhatia and K.R. Parthasarathy, Positive definite functions and operator inequalities, Bull. London Math. Soc. 32 (2000), pp. 214-228.

[3] T. Furuta, J. Mićić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities, Element, Zagreb, 2005.

[4] S. Furuichi, On refined Young inequalities and reverse inequalities, J. Math. Inequal, 5 (2011), pp. 21-31.

[5] S. Furuichi, Refined Young inequalities with Spechts ratio, J. Egypt. Math. Soc. 20 (2012), pp. 46-49.

[6] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson, Matrix analysis, 2nd ed, Cambridge University Press, New York (2013).

[7] O. Hirzallah and F. Kittaneh, Matrix Young inequalities for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, Linear Algebra Appl. 308 (2000), pp. 77-84.

[8] H. Kosaki, Arithmetic-geometric mean and related inequalities for operators, J. Funct. Anal. 156 (1998), pp. 429-451.

[9] F. Kittaneh, M. Krnić, N. Lovričević and J. Pečarić, Improved arithmetic-geometric and Heinz means inequalities for Hilbert space operators, Publ. Math. Debrecen. 80 (2012), pp. 465-478.

[10] F. Kittaneh and M. Krnić, Refined Heinz operator inequalities, Linear Multilinear Algebra. 61 (2013), pp. 1148-1157.

[11] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 361 (2010), pp. 262-269.

[12] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Reverse Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices, Linear Multilinear Algebra. 59 (2011), pp. 1031-1037.

[13] M. Tominaga, Specht's ratio in the Young inequality, Sci. Math. Japon. 55 (2002), pp. 583-588.

[14] J.L. Wu and J.G. Zhao, Operator inequalities and reverse inequalities related to the Kittaneh-Manasrah inequalities, Linear Multilinear Algebra. doi:10. 1080/03081087. 2013. 794235.

[15] J.G. Zhao and J.L. Wu, Operator inequalities involving improved Young and its reverse inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 421 (2015), pp. 1779-1789.

[16] H.L. Zuo, G.H. Shi and M. Fujii, Refined Young inequality with Kantorovich constant, J. Math. Inequal. 5 (2011), pp. 551-556.